P. G. L., (1860,) art. 93, sec. 98. 1798, ch. 101, sub-ch. 9, sec. 15.

97. No administrator shall be allowed in his account for any claim discharged by him unless he produce the claim passed by the orphans' court, or proven as herein directed.

Owens v. Collinson, 3 G. & J. 25. Bowie v. Ghiselin, 30 Md. 553. Coburn v. Harris, 53 Md. 367. Bonaparte v. State, 63 Md. 465.

Tbid. sec. 99. 1798, ch. 101, sub-ch. 9, sec. 9.

98. It shall not be considered as the duty of an administrator to avail himself of the act of limitations to bar what he supposes to be a just claim, but the same shall be left to his honesty and discretion.

Forbes v. Perrie's Admr., 1 H & J. 109. Scrivener's Admr. v. Scrivener's Exrs., 1 H. & J. 743. Lansdale v. Ghequiere, 4 H. & J. 257. Bonney v Smith, 4 H. & J. 485. Chapman v. Dixon, 4 H. & J. 527. Curtis v. Bank of Somerset, 7 H & J 25. Giles v. Perryman, 1 H. & G. 169. Kent's Admr. v. Wilkinson, 5 G. & J 497. Mitchell v Mitchell, 11 G. & J 388. Bowling v. Lamar, 1 Gill, 258. Remington v. Dinsmore, 2 Gill, 348. Young v. Mackall, 3 Md Ch. 398. Young v. Mackall, 4 Md 362 Smith v Smith's Admr., 7 Md 55. Miller v. Dorsey, 9 Md. 317. Semmes v. Young's Admrs, 10 Md 243. Yingling v. Hesson, 16 Md. 120. Feltz v. Young, 18 Md 163. Gordon v. Small, 53 Md. 559.

Tbid. sec. 100. 1798, ch. 101, sub-ch. 9, sec. 13.

99. No administrator shall be obliged to discharge any claim of which vouchers and proofs shall be exhibited as aforesaid, but may reject, and at law dispute the same, in case he shall have reason to believe that the deceased never owed the debt, or had discharged the same or a part thereof, or had a claim in bar.

Bowie v. Ghiselin, 30 Md. 553. Coburn v. Harris, 53 Md. 367. Shaeffer v. Shaeffer, 54 Md. 679.

Ibid. sec. 101 1802, ch. 101, sec. 9.

100. In no case shall the order made by the orphans' court or register of wills, that an account or claim will pass when paid, be deemed of validity to establish such claim or account, but in case the administrator thinks fit to contest the same, such account or claim shall derive no validity from the order aforesaid, but shall be proved in the same manner as if no such order had been made.

Bowie v. Ghiselin, 30 Md. 553. Shaeffer v. Shaeffer, 54 Md. 679. Levering v. Levering, 64 Md. 399.